

### South Dakota State University Extension South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station at SDSU

# 2023 South Dakota Winter Wheat Forage Trial Results

Jonathan Kleinjan | SDSU Extension Agronomist

Warren Rusche | Assistant Professor & SDSU Extension Feedlot Specialist

Sunish Sehgal | SDSU Winter Wheat Breeder Kevin Kirby | Agricultural Research Manager Shawn Hawks | Agricultural Research Manager

**Location:** Brookings, SD (44.320884°, -96.771016°)

Soil Type: Barnes clay loams, 0-2% slopes

Previous crop: oats
Tillage: no-till
Row spacing: 8"

Seeding Rate: 1.2 million PLS/acre

Fertilizer:

- Starter: 90 lbs/acre 30-10-10

- Other: 50-0-0 fall applied as UAN, 80-60-40-20

spring applied as liquid broadcast

Herbicide:

- Burndown: 36 oz/acre Tomahawk + 27 oz/acre

2,4-D

- Post: 2 pt/acre Brox 2EC

Fungicide: none

**Date seeded:** 9/20/2022 **Date harvested:** 6/15/2023

Location: Pierre, SD (Dakota Lakes Research Farm)

(44.292795°, -99.990924°)

Soil Type: Millboro silt loam, 0-3% slopes

Previous crop: flax Tillage: no-till Row spacing: 8"

Seeding Rate: 1.2 million PLS/acre

Fertilizer:

- Starter: 10 gal/acre, 10-34-0

- Other: 49 GPA 26. 1-0-0-2.6S steambar applied

on 5/03/2023

Herbicide:

- Burndown: 26 oz/acre Glyphosate + 12 oz/acre 2,4-D + 0.1 oz/acre Tribenuron on 9/06/2022

- Post: 1 pt/acre Bromoxynil

Fungicide: none

**Date seeded:** 9/22/2022 **Date harvested:** 6/15/2023

#### Notes:

- Wheat plots were harvested at milk to early-dough stage with a Swift LTD forage plot harvester.
- Plot sub-samples were wieghed and dried for 72 hours at 140°F.
- Dried hay samples were sent to Dairyland Labs (Arcadia, WI) for NIR analysis.

SDSU Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer in accordance with the nondiscrimination policies of South Dakota State University, the South Dakota Board of Regents and the United States Department of Agriculture.



### 2023 South Dakota Winter Wheat Forage Trial Results Brookings

Table 1. 2023 winter wheat forage trial results (average of 3 replications) at Brookings, SD.

Variety Informantion			Yield & Nutritional Performance							
Variety	Origin†- 'Year	Height (inches)	DM <sup>1</sup> (T/A)	CP <sup>2</sup> (%DM)	ADF <sup>3</sup> (%DM)	NDF⁴ (%DM)	NE L⁵ (Mcal/ cwt)	NE G <sup>6</sup> (Mcal/ cwt)	NE M <sup>7</sup> (Mcal/ cwt)	RFV <sup>8</sup>
AP Baldy awnless	AP-23	27	2.7	13.4	26.8	49.0	66.5	40.7	67.5	129.0
LCS Chrome	LCS-15	27	2.4	14.0	28.8	51.4	65.9	40.4	67.2	120.2
MTF 1435 awnless	MT-18	33	2.6	13.0	30.8	57.3	63.0	36.6	63.0	105.7
Oahe	SD-16	28	3.0	12.6	26.8	50.8	65.8	39.6	66.2	124.6
SD Andes	SD-20	26	2.8	14.1	28.6	52.9	65.6	40.0	66.8	117.3
SD Midland	SD-21	26	2.9	13.1	28.5	52.9	64.7	38.6	65.2	117.6
SY Monument	AP-15	26	3.0	12.8	27.2	50.0	66.4	40.5	67.2	126.2
T173 awnless	MT-09	34	2.7	11.7	31.4	56.0	62.2	35.1	61.2	107.0
Winner	SD-19	27	2.8	13.4	28.3	51.2	65.9	40.1	66.8	121.7
Trial Average	-	28	2.8	13.1	28.7	52.5	65.1	39.0	65.6	118.5
LSD (0.05)§	-	-	0.6	1.3	1.8	3.5	2.5	3.3	3.6	10.0

<sup>†</sup> AP - AgriPro; ALS - LCS - Limagrain Cereal Seeds; MT - Montana; SD - South Dakota; and year release.

<sup>§</sup> Value required (≥LSD) to determine if varieties are significantly different from one another.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tons per acre of dry matter (DM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Crude protein as a percentage of DM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Acid detergent fiber as a % of dry matter. Generally samples with lower ADF are considered higher quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Neutral detergent fiber as a % of dry matter. Generally samples with lower NDF are considered higher quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Net energy, lactation - an estimate of energy value for dairy cattle diets. (Mcal/cwt, DM basis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Net energy, gain - an estimate of energy value to support beef cattle growth. (Mcal/cwt, DM basis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Net energy, maintenance - an estimate of energy value for meeting maintenance needs of beef cattle. (Mcal/cwt, DM basis).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Relative feed value - a value representing how well a forage will be consumed and digested.



## 2023 South Dakota Winter Wheat Forage Trial Results Pierre

Table 2. 2023 winter wheat forage trial results (average of 3 replications) at Pierre, SD.

Variety Informantion			Yield & Nutritional Performance							
Variety	Origin†- 'Year	Height (inches)	DM¹ (T/A)	CP <sup>2</sup> (%DM)	ADF <sup>3</sup> (%DM)	NDF <sup>4</sup> (%DM)	NE L <sup>5</sup> (Mcal/ cwt)	NE G <sup>6</sup> (Mcal/ cwt)	NE M <sup>7</sup> (Mcal/ cwt)	RFV <sup>8</sup>
AP Baldy awnless	AP-23	21	1.8	12.5	26.6	47.1	67.8	41.8	68.7	134.9
LCS Chrome	LCS-15	20	1.9	14.3	26.7	47.3	67.5	42.2	69.2	134.1
MTF 1435 <sup>awnless</sup>	MT-18	26	1.9	10.6	31.1	51.5	63.6	36.3	62.6	117.6
Oahe	SD-16	25	2.4	11.9	26.8	44.8	67.9	41.7	68.6	141.7
SD Andes	SD-20	19	1.7	12.6	28.2	47.6	65.9	39.6	66.4	131.6
SD Midland	SD-21	20	1.8	12.8	28.4	48.3	67.0	41.0	67.8	129.0
SY Monument	AP-15	22	2.6	11.0	27.6	47.2	66.8	40.0	66.7	133.3
T173awnless	MT-09	26	1.8	11.6	31.6	51.3	63.8	36.8	63.2	116.7
Winner	SD-19	23	2.0	12.4	27.6	46.9	64.3	37.8	64.3	133.8
Trial Average	-	23	2.0	12.1	28.5	48.1	66.0	39.6	66.3	129.8
LSD (0.05)§	-	-	0.7	2.2	3.7	4.9	5.4	6.6	7.3	18.0

<sup>†</sup> AP - AgriPro; ALS - LCS - Limagrain Cereal Seeds; MT - Montana; SD - South Dakota; and year release..

<sup>§</sup> Value required (≥LSD) to determine if varieties are significantly different from one another.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tons per acre of dry matter (DM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Crude protein as a percentage of DM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Acid detergent fiber as a % of dry matter. Generally samples with lower ADF are considered higher quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Neutral detergent fiber as a % of dry matter. Generally samples with lower NDF are considered higher quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Net energy, lactation - an estimate of energy value for dairy cattle diets. (Mcal/cwt, DM basis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Net energy, gain - an estimate of energy value to support beef cattle growth. (Mcal/cwt, DM basis)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Net energy, maintenance - an estimate of energy value for meeting maintenance needs of beef cattle. (Mcal/cwt, DM basis).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Relative feed value - a value representing how well a forage will be consumed and digested.